

The Use of as if and -ing in English: A Multimodal Analysis

Amar Ramesh Wayal & Anupama A.P.

Abstract

This paper explores the English constructions of “as if” and “-ing” clauses, which serve as indicators of multimodal irony and contribute to interpreting rhetorical meaning. These constructions highlight the evolution of a grammatical mechanism that conveys complex rhetorical functions. The source constructs are propositional, while the cause and time clauses are more expressive and have deeper meanings in the text. As a result, subjectification is also part of this grammatical process. Additionally, participial structures such as “-ing” and “as if” clauses are noteworthy grammatical phenomena that shape spoken language. Finally, this paper examines a theoretical description of the non-verbal elements in these clauses, emphasising their role in grammatical processes and the interpretation of rhetorical meanings.

Keywords: As if and-ing construction; Clauses; Converbs; Expressive meaning; Grammatical scenario.

Introduction

Language is a foundational element of human existence, facilitating efficient communication across diverse contexts. Mastery of a language involves the acquisition of a comprehensive vocabulary, a sound understanding of grammatical structures, and the ability to articulate thoughts with clarity and precision. These linguistic components are critical for practical communication skills in reading, listening, speaking, and writing. However, linguistic proficiency does not solely hinge on individual capability; external factors influence it, including familial, communal, and educational environments. Zirkel provides a significant framework for understanding language abilities by highlighting the measurable characteristics of Spanish and English, underscoring their intricate intercon-

nection within a three-dimensional matrix. This framework is particularly relevant in examining bimodal bilingualism, where individuals navigate various language contexts (Ramirez 41). These language abilities are situated within an interconnected matrix that includes the cultural substratum, fundamental language skills, and socio-linguistic domains, each associated with varying levels of proficiency. The employed dominant linguistic measure further nuanced the representation of language competence, enabling a composite view of Spanish and English proficiency as quantifiable units. This multidimensional analysis allows for additional disaggregation along each axis, offering a deeper understanding of the complexities inherent in bilingual language use. This analytical approach enriches our comprehension of language aptitude and prompts further investigations into the intricate dynamics of bilingualism in contemporary society.

In order to facilitate meaningful communication, this matrix highlights the significance of maintaining linguistic purity. We find it fascinating how present participial converb phrases, like “as if” and “-ing” in English, have evolved into adverbial subclauses headed by the present participle, which indicates subordination. Although the present participle is found in numerous Indo-European languages, it is specifically used to make converb clauses in English. In English, these clauses have been grammaticalized to reflect the explicit categorisation of clauses as supporting elements to the central notion. The dynamic character of language creation is emphasised by the frequent reinterpretation of adverbial meanings through coordination, even when they appear in distinct clauses. The pragmatic, syntactic, and semantic aspects of the English language have been illuminated through the study of “as if” and “-ing” phrases. The separate roles of these formulations have been uncovered by semantic research. “As if” denotes hypothetical or unreal situations, whereas “-ing” denotes ongoing acts or continuous states.

While “as if” structures put an emphasis on the hypothetical character of clauses, syntactic differences have shown how versatile “-ing” constructs are in sentence form. A better understanding of the ever-changing nature of language construction and its function in communication can be gained by studying the evolution and use of “as if” and “-ing” clauses in English, which are heavily influenced by pragmatic factors such as speaker intention and contextual cues. This paper investigates how these constructions convey meaning and function as multimodal irony markers that examine their semantic meanings, syntactic features, various applications, and the contextual factors influencing their comprehension. The growth of these

clauses shows how a grammatical tool for expressing rhetorical functions has changed over time and has become an essential part of the grammatical structure.

-Ing Clauses

The present participle is the head of these clauses, called converb clauses. Below are a few examples (1-4).

- (1) The stadium opened, and Ricky came in, holding his bat in hand.
- (2) Closing the door, Joseph looked at the dog in the garden.
- 3) Looking forward to discussing the issues, everyone wants to insist that...
- (4) The bus being crowded, Antony had to sit in the back.

The prevalence of the present participle in Indo-European languages underscores its linguistic importance, though English uniquely employs this form as seen in the examples (1-4) provided. The formation of these clauses is derived from intransitive verbs and often involves phrase-level constructions rather than full sentences, reflecting the grammatical evolution of English. Converb clauses, as articulated by Killie and Swan (338-39), have become integral to English grammar due to the explicit classification of clauses functioning as satellites to a central idea. This development also reflects the tendency to reinterpret adverbial semantics within coordinated constructions, blending subordination and coordination for greater syntactic flexibility. The “-ing” construction in English is multi-functional, encompassing gerunds, continuous states, and ongoing actions. For instance, the sentence “She is running” demonstrates the “-ing” form’s ability to indicate a continuous, performed action. Similarly, “He was sitting on the chair” exemplifies its capacity to represent continuous states, emphasizing the sustained nature of the subject’s position. Huddleston and Pullum (2002) further affirm that the “-ing” form inherently conveys ongoing actions, making it central to understanding English syntax and semantics. The syntactic features, semantic interpretations, usage variations, and contextual influences enable a comprehensive understanding of the intricate role of the “-ing” form. Through this lens, the present participle emerges as a grammatical tool that not only depicts continuity but also enriches the expressive capacity of English.

The analysis of “-ing” clauses reveals their syntactic versatility and semantic depth, serving as gerunds that function as nouns derived from verbs. Gerunds assume critical roles within sentences, including that of subject, object, or complement. For instance, in the sentence “Reading books is enjoyable,” the gerund “reading” functions as the subject, foregrounding the concept or activity of the action. This demonstrates how “-ing” phrases, depending on their syntactic placement, shape the structural and semantic dynamics of a sentence.

The syntactic position of “-ing” phrases determines their function, as evidenced by their use as subjects in examples such as “Running every morning is good for your health” and “Singing is her passion.” Similarly, their role as objects, complements, or modifiers is apparent in sentences like “She enjoys reading books” or “He hates doing chores.” The phrase “His favourite activity is swimming” illustrates their role as a complement, while “Her goal is winning the competition” demonstrates their capacity to modify. These examples underscore how the placement of “-ing” constructions within a sentence directly impacts meaning and emphasis, showcasing their adaptability and influence on sentence interpretation.

Beyond syntax, the capacity of “-ing” constructs to communicate personal interpretations and points of view is particularly striking. “-Ing” constructions are often employed to convey the speaker’s perspective, attitude, or personal experience. As Quirk et al. (1985) argue, these forms emphasize the speaker’s subjective involvement by depicting their ongoing experience or active participation in an activity. For instance, in “I love swimming,” the “-ing” form of “swimming” highlights the speaker’s enjoyment and engagement. Moreover, “-ing” formulations can also express opinions or evaluations, as seen in “It’s raining, making the roads slippery,” where “making” implies causation, reflecting the speaker’s assessment of the situation.

The contextual flexibility of “-ing” constructions further reinforces their importance. Their meaning can shift depending on the verb’s tense, aspect, voice, and modality. As Quirk et al. (1985) note, subtleties in time and aspect are often conveyed through variations in “-ing” forms. For example, “She was singing” indicates a past activity that was ongoing, while “She has been singing” denotes an action initiated in the past and still continuing. The voice of the verb also shapes meaning, with passive constructions like “being built” and “being seen” highlighting the sustained nature of an activity affecting the subject.

Modality is another crucial factor in interpreting “-ing” forms. When combined with modal verbs like “can,” “may,” or “should,” these constructions convey possibilities, permissions, or obligations. For example, “You should be studying” suggests an expectation or encouragement to study. Furthermore, contextual factors such as speaker tone, intent, and discourse dynamics influence the interpretation of “-ing” constructs, shaping their semantic and pragmatic roles. This adaptability underscores the importance of considering broader linguistic and situational elements when analysing “-ing” formations.

In the realm of communication, “-ing” constructions intersect with the collaborative nature of language use, including spoken and gestural modes. Speaking, as a multimodal activity, involves rapid interaction between speakers and listeners. As Knapp and Seidlher (281–282) assert, effective communication rests on the synergy between speakers, hearers, messages, and responses. The synchronization of speech and gestures, as shown in Kendall’s research (17–18), enriches the meaning of utterances. To achieve strategic competence, learners must adeptly use clauses, including “as if” and “-ing” constructions, to fulfil their communicative objectives. As Nurul observes, mastering such forms enables speakers to compensate for gaps in discourse norms, sociolinguistics, and linguistic knowledge, solidifying their communicative effectiveness (15–16).

As if Clauses

The use of “as if” in English exemplifies subordination and its versatile role in defining distinct speaking functions—interactional, transactional, and performance-based—by allowing subordinate clauses to function as main clauses. A significant distinction between chat and conversation lies in the former’s reliance on distinct sentences, which serve a social aim (Evans 367). Two instances of “as if” clauses demonstrate its syntactic and semantic functions:

1. It looks as if they have had shock.
2. He behaved as if nothing had happened.

In Example 1, the “as if” clause functions as an adverbial adjunct, complementing the matrix clause and operating as a syntax-free auxiliary. In Example 2, the “as if” construction, complemented by the verb *seems* (Lehmann 4–5), conveys medium-intensity epistemic modality, reflecting a nuanced possibility. The syntactic and semantic parallels between these subordinate constructions warrant treating them as a single category.

The “as if” structure plays an essential role in comparative formulations, enabling speakers and writers to draw parallels between diverse thoughts or activities. For instance: “The storm raged outside as if the heavens themselves were in turmoil” employs “as if” to vividly describe the storm’s intensity. By comparing the storm to a cosmic tremor, the description acquires dramatic depth, evoking powerful imagery that immerses the reader in the scene. This illustrates how “as if” enhances the descriptive quality of language, transforming mundane depictions into evocative and impactful expressions.

Beyond its descriptive function, “as if” adds tonal nuance by conveying doubt or incredulity. In the example, “He smiled at her as if he had just won the lottery,” the use of “as if” introduces a layer of scepticism about the smile’s authenticity, implying it might be exaggerated or insincere. This subtle expression of cynicism enhances the meaning, adding complexity to the speaker’s perception and imbuing the character with greater dimensionality.

The “as if” clause also holds practical significance in communication, particularly for speakers with limited linguistic abilities. By enabling meaningful interactions and facilitating clear discussions, this construction helps maintain fluency and coherence. Classroom activities that encourage students to clarify their understanding, communicate effectively, and negotiate meaning can significantly enhance their language proficiency. Adherence to syntax, pronunciation, and word choice ensures accuracy in speech, while strategic pauses can aid clarity (Huddleston & Pullum 1152–53).

Fluency demands a balance—while pauses must be used sparingly, careful word choice and correct pronunciation are equally crucial for effective communication. When deployed naturally, “as if” clauses contribute to fluency by allowing speakers to construct nuanced, clear, and engaging discourse with a focus on the non-verbal clues used by speakers to denote “as if” constructions in conversations. This is to align these findings with multimodal structures. Regardless of construction type, there may be a distinct set of non-verbal traits associated with ironic “as if” clauses that are absent in non-ironic ones. This could manifest as sarcasm in its various forms (Lehmann, 5–6).

The features of subordinate “as if” clauses may closely align with in-subordinate and formulaic uses. This supports the theory that a unique non-verbal construction signals syntactic independence. Based on these

observations, treating subordinate and formulaic uses as distinct categories is the most effective approach (Lehmann, 6–7). Additionally, “as if” constructions differ fundamentally from “-ing” constructions, which prioritize accuracy over fluency.

Here are the key differences between activities focused on fluency and accuracy:

1. Activities focusing on fluency

- a) Reflect natural use of language
- b) Focus on achieving communication
- c) Require meaningful use of language
- d) Require the use of communication strategies
- e) Produce language that may not be predictable
- f) Seek to link language use to context

2. Activities focusing on accuracy

- a) Reflect classroom use of language
- b) Focus on the formation of correct examples of language
- c) Practice language out of context
- d) Practice small samples of language
- e) Do not require meaningful communication
- f) Control choice of language (Richards 13–14)

Contextual factors such as audience, gender, topic, and channel play a significant role in determining responses to “as if” constructions. Participants engage in exchanges within specific contexts, while the channel represents the mode of communication, and gender reflects speech patterns. Meaning negotiation, expressive tools, and grammatical rules are all shaped by these variables.

This approach annotated four types of interactions – scripted, monologue, video call, and face-to-face conversations. Each annotation included interaction type, speaker identity, syntactic form, and the interpretation of prosodic chunks containing “as if”. Ward (14–15) observed clear signs of irony in both scripted and unscripted interactions, identifying formulaic,

subordinate, and insubordinate values. Methodologically, examples with ambiguous prosodic chunks – potentially interpreted as both sarcastic and non-ironic – were excluded (Barth-Weingarten 34–35). Prosodic markers, including pauses, in-breaths, pitch drops, voice creaks, and lengthening, established chunk boundaries.

To classify chunks containing “as if”, keywords such as value, sentence, clause, and verb phrase were applied. Adverbial sentences, which express time, cause, condition, or aim, function similarly to coordinated clauses within a larger structure. They often integrate dependent “-ing” clauses into their matrix clauses. According to Johansson and Lysva’g (12–13), supplemental clauses occupy a middle ground between subordination and coordination. These clauses provide non-essential information related to the referent in a finite clause. Similar patterns are seen in converb clauses, which evolved alongside participial relative clauses during the sixteenth and fifteenth centuries. The increasing frequency, mobility, and adverbial meanings of “-ing” clauses further support this grammaticalization process (Kohnen 58–59).

This process highlights how formulaic language links ideas seamlessly. For instance: He yells back! Why are you always yelling the questions “as if” that something new? This demonstrates that formulaic expressions use prosody to stand out, marking beginnings of key ideas. While circumstantial evidence supports this claim, definitive proof lies outside this paper’s scope. Nonetheless, these findings suggest that chunk building and syntactic separation are possible outcomes. Notably, formulaic language does not reflect an attempt to disassociate from the expressed concept. Rather, it demonstrates an effort to engage with the audience, adopting their perspective. This aligns with Lehmann’s (19–20) view that prosodic empathy follows a formulaic pattern. In multimodal contexts, “as if” constructs exhibit distinct profiles with varying cue validity. By chunking “as if” into a unified prosodic unit, stronger and weaker connections between constructions can be identified. Frowning and other non-verbal cues further distinguish insubordinate uses. “As if” constructions operate as prototype categories within Utterance Construction Grammar. These categories include central and peripheral non-verbal links, supporting the multimodal and syntactic distinctiveness of “as if” clauses.

Comparative aspects of “as if” and “-ing” clauses

For the purpose of introducing sentences in English, the words “as if” and “-ing” are commonly used. All of these markers have the same func-

tion—to indicate clauses—but they are unique in their syntactic and semantic features, and how you employ them depends on context. We can have a better understanding of the specific functions and consequences of these differences if we analyse them and think about the mental operations required to interpret them. Their different usage is based on semantic distinctions between “as if” and “-ing” formulations. In most contexts, the use of “as if” implies conditions or similes that do not correspond to reality, making the scenario seem hypothetical or unreal. The use of the subjunctive mood is common when introducing dependent clauses with “as if”, which serves to further emphasise that the assertion is hypothetical. The semantic scope of “-ing” constructions, on the other hand, is much larger. In addition to describing continuous states or acts, they can also serve as gerunds, indicating actual, factual situations as opposed to hypothetical or counterfactual ones. “-Ing” forms can play a variety of roles inside a phrase, enhancing its structure and meaning as subjects, objects, complements, or modifiers.

Their unique functions are further emphasised by the syntactic distinctions between “as if” and “-ing” expressions. “As if” usually comes after a verb phrase in the subjunctive mood, like “were”, to start a subordinate sentence. The clause is made more speculative by this grammatical construction. In contrast, the syntactic placement of “-ing” constructs can vary according to their function within a phrase, making them more adaptable. Their versatility as subjects, objects, complements, and modifiers opens them to a world of sentence structure possibilities. The usages of “as if” and “-ing” constructions overlap or interact in certain cases, notwithstanding these differences. To clarify their meanings and ascertain the desired interpretation, contextual elements play a pivotal role. The meaning of “as if” and “-ing” formulations can be greatly affected by pragmatic factors, such as the speaker’s tone, gestures, or general discourse. The speaker’s goal in using these indicators also dictates whether they are describing a current action or a hypothetical situation. Verb aspects can also play a role in determining whether a “-ing” or “as if” construction is employed; “-ing” constructions are more commonly associated with continuing or continuous verb aspects, whereas “as if” is more commonly used for verbs indicating hypothetical or unreal events.

The “as if” and “-ing” constructions both have the ability to express hypothetical meanings, although they approach it in slightly different ways. While “-ing” constructs may imply continuous acts that are realistically possible but not currently happening, “as if” clearly communicates an unreal or contrary-to-fact condition. These markers also interact in terms of

subjectivity. In contrast to “-ing” constructions, which reflect the speaker’s personal engagement, experience, or observation, “as if” frequently highlights the speaker’s judgement or assessment of a situation.

A number of mental operations are at work in their interpretation of “as if” and “-ing” clauses. While “-ing” constructions are analysed semantically, suggesting ongoing acts, continuous states, or functioning as nouns, the hypothetical or unreal nature of “as if” constructions is identified through the semantic analysis. By analysing the sentence’s grammatical function, readers and listeners can tell the difference between “-ing” constructions and “as if” as a subordinating conjunction that introduces a dependent phrase. When trying to deduce the speaker’s attitude, hypothetical position, or subjective viewpoint, readers or listeners rely heavily on pragmatic inference, which involves drawing on shared knowledge, contextual clues, and the speaker’s aim.

Conclusion

Exploring the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic functions of “as if” and “-ing” constructions, particularly in multimodal communication and sarcastic contexts, uncovers how these constructions, essential in expressing hypothetical or ongoing actions, function in irony, emphasizing the intricate relationship between syntax, prosody, and non-verbal cues. A significant contribution lies in integrating multimodal constructional grammar with analysing prosodic patterns. It demonstrates how prosodic chunking—through factors like pacing and tonal shifts—is crucial in signaling ironic interpretations. Prosody, while integral, is shown to work in tandem with non-verbal cues and contextual information to shape irony. The comparative analysis highlights how “as if” clauses introduce unreal or hypothetical scenarios while “-ing” clauses reflect ongoing actions. This distinction extends beyond syntax, revealing how speaker intention, modality, and discourse context enhance the meaning of these constructions. In addition, contextual factors—such as speaker tone, gender, and interaction type—are examined for their impact on the communicative effect of these constructions. Analysing their use across various discourse types, including scripted dialogues, monologues, and face-to-face conversations, shows how these constructions contribute to the production and interpretation of sarcasm and irony. The analysis provides a novel exploration of “as if” and “-ing” constructions, emphasizing their grammatical significance, role in irony, and integration with prosodic and non-verbal elements, offering insights into how language and context shape complex meanings in communication.

Works Cited:

- Annisa, Nurul. "Improving Speaking Skills through Cooperative Learning for the Tenth Grade Students of the Tourism Program at SMKN 7 Yogyakarta in the Academic Year of 2011-2012." Diss. Yogyakarta State University, 2012.
- Barth-Weingarten, Dagmar. *Intonation Units Revisited: Cesuras in Talk-in-Interaction*. John Benjamins, 2016.
- Busso, Lucia, and Margherita Castelli. "Alla Finfine Sono Daccordo: A Corpus-Based Study on Italian Adverbial Phrases Grammaticalization." In *Computational and Corpus-Based Phraseology*, edited by Gloria Corpas Pastor and Ruslan Mitkov, 31-45. Springer Nature, 2019.
- Du Bois, John W. "The Stance Triangle." In *Stancetaking in Discourse: Subjectivity, Evaluation, Interaction*, edited by Robert Englebreton, 139-82. John Benjamins, 2007.
- Evans, Nicholas. "Insubordination and Its Uses." In *Finiteness: Theoretical and Empirical Foundations*, edited by Irina Nikolaeva, 366-431. OUP, 2007.
- Huddleston, Rodney D., and Geoffrey K. Pullum et al. *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*. CUP, 2002.
- Johansson, Stig, and Per Lysvåg. *Understanding English Grammar*, vols. 1 and 2. Universitetsforlaget, 1987.
- Kendon, Adam. *Gesture: Visible Action as Utterance*. CUP, 2004.
- Killie, K., and T. Swan. "The Grammaticalization and Subjectification of Adverbial -ing Clauses (Converb Clauses) in English." *English Language & Linguistics* 13, no. 3 (2009): 337-63. doi:10.1017/S1360674309990141.
- Knapp, Karl Friedrich, and Barbara Seidlhofer. *Handbook of Foreign Language Communication and Learning*. Mouton De Gruyter, 2009.
- Kohnen, Thomas. "The Influence of 'Latinate' Constructions in Early Modern English: Orality and Literacy as Complementary Forces."

In *Language Contact in the History of English*, edited by Dieter Kastovsky and Arthur Mettinger, 171–94. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 2003.

Lehmann, C. “As If That Wasn’t Enough: English as If Clauses as Multimodal Utterance Constructions.” *English Language & Linguistics* (2022): 1-28. doi:10.1017/S1360674322000326.

Quirk, Randolph, et al. *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. Longman, 1985.

Ramirez, A. G. *Bilingualism Through Schooling: Cross-Cultural Education for Minority and Majority Students*. State University of New York Press, 1985.

Richard, Lambert. *Language and International Studies: A Richard Lambert Perspective*. National Foreign Centre, 1993.

Swan, Toril. “-ende/-ing in the History of English.” In *Language and Function*, edited by Josef Hladky, 297–313. John Benjamins, 2002.

Ward, Nigel G. *Prosodic Patterns in English Conversation*. CUP, 2019.